

List of Figures

Figure 1.0.1 The Southern Appalachian Assessment area.

Figure 1.0.2 Major drainages of the SAA study area.

Figure 1.0.3 Ecological regions of the Southern Appalachians.

Figure 2.1.1 Stream density by watershed. Stream density in mean feet of river or stream channel per acre of land surface.

Figure 2.1.2 Stream density by acre. Stream density in mean feet of river or stream channel for each acre of land surface.

Figure 2.1.3 Distribution of stream densities for counties across the SAA region. Virginia city-counties are not included.

Figure 2.1.4 Average precip by dry year, by wet year.

Figure 2.1.5 Acres of lake or reservoir surface for counties in the SAA area showing influence of TVA impoundments.

Figure 2.2.1 Lake and reservoir trophic condition.

Figure 2.2.2 Miles of streams not supporting water uses by hydrologic unit.

Figure 2.2.3 Percent of stream miles not fully supporting water use shown by hydrologic unit.

Figure 2.2.4 Fecal coliform violations.

Figure 2.3.1 Map of geographic areas in the SAA region that have high, medium, and low sensitivity to acid deposition.

Figure 2.4.1 Distribution of number of species in each taxonomic group.

Figure 2.4.2 Spatial distribution of all TE&SC species among counties.

Figure 2.4.3 Spatial distribution of TE&SC fish species among counties.

Figure 2.4.4 Spatial distribution of TE&SC mollusc species among counties.

Figure 2.4.5 Spatial distribution of federally listed threatened and endangered aquatic species known to occur in the SAA area counties.

Figure 2.5.1 Wild trout range boundaries in the SAA area.

Figure 2.5.2 Areas within the SAA area wild trout range of high, medium, and low sensitivity to acidification.

Figure 2.7.1 Fish community condition sample sites.

Figure 2.7.2 Fish community condition at some sites in the Southern Appalachians.

Figure 2.8.1 Distribution of 110 reference streams on the George Washington National Forest according to EPT scores.

Figure 3.1.1 Distribution of habitat condition for 235 stream sites in the Holston and Hiwassee drainages.

Figure 3.2.1 Distribution of land cover classes important for water resource integrity in the study area.

Figure 3.2.2 Intensive human influence on landscapes in the study area.

Figure 3.2.3 Distribution of land use/land cover classes by ecological region.

Figure 3.2.4 Land cover by ownership.

Figure 3.3.1 Riparian zone land cover.

Figure 3.3.2 Riparian zone forest cover by ecoregion and hydrologic unit/watershed combined.

Figure 3.3.3 Riparian zone land cover by ownership.

Figure 4.1.1 The percent of assessed river miles impaired by various pollutants.

Figure 4.1.2 The percent of river miles impaired by various sources of pollution.

Figure 5.1.1 Percent of county land area used for computing soil loss with the Universal Soil Loss Equation in the National Resources Inventory of 1992.

Figure 5.1.2 Locations of 890 hazardous waste sites in the SAA region that are in the EPA CERCLIS database.

Figure 5.1.3 Locations of 170 active sanitary landfills in the SAA region.

Figure 5.1.4 Miles of streams impacted by past and present mining activity for each hydrologic unit watershed.

Figure 5.1.5 Locations of active mines in the SAA region.

Figure 5.1.6 Potential agricultural erosion rate estimated from county data in the National Resource Inventory for 1992.

Figure 5.1.7 Percent decrease or increase in potential agricultural erosion rate for each SAA county between 1982 and 1992.

Figure 5.1.8 Distribution of the number of counties with decreasing or increasing potential soil erosion ratings over 10 years, 1982-1992.

Figure 5.1.9 Distribution of percent of streams within 100 feet of a class 4 road (secondary gravel roads and paved urban streets) for SAA watersheds.

Figure 5.1.10 Percent of stream, river, and lake boundaries within 100 feet of a road for counties in the SAA region.

Figure 5.1.11 Facilities discharging into surface waters of the SAA region that have National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits.

Figure 5.1.12 Waters impacted by toxic chemicals.

Figure 5.1.13 Number of NPDES facilities in the SAA region.

Figure 5.1.14 Number of facilities in the 15 counties in the SAA region with the most NPDES sites.

Figure 5.1.15 Chemical releases in pounds per year for the 15 counties of the SAA which received the largest loadings in 1993.

Figure 5.1.16 Biological oxygen demand (BOD) for counties in the SAA region, based on maximum allowable loading given in NPDES permits.

Figure 5.1.17 Estimated nitrogen load per county due to animal manure based on animal populations given in the Census of Agriculture for 1987.

Figure 5.1.18 Estimated nitrogen load per county due to fertilizer use based upon fertilizer sales in 1985.

Figure 5.1.19 Estimated pesticide load per county based on reported pounds of pesticides sold per year.

Figure 5.1.20 Location of municipal and community drinking water intakes in SAA counties.

Figure 5.1.21 Toxicity Index Profile (TIP) rating for SAA watersheds.

Figure 5.1.22 Rivers and lakes of the assessment area where states are currently posting advisories against consumption of fish contaminated by toxic chemicals.

Figure 5.1.23 Capital needs by counties for sewage treatment and collection facilities through year 2005.

Figure 6.1.1 Range of thermoelectric water use (million gallons per day) by county in the SAA area for 1990.

Figure 6.1.2 Trends in offstream and instream water uses, 1950-1990.

Figure 6.1.3 Trends in freshwater withdrawals by water use category for rural, public supply, industry, thermoelectric, and irrigation from 1950-1990.

Figure 6.1.4 Total freshwater withdrawals in million gallons per day in the South from 1960-1985 with projections to the year 2040.

Figure 6.1.5 Total freshwater consumption (millions of gallons per day) in the South as projected from 1985-2040.